1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF TAYLOR CUSTOM HOMES, INC., 4 dba J & D EXCAVATING, 5 Appellant, PCHB No. 83-188 6 ٧. FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 7 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9 10

This matter, the appeal from the issuance of a \$250 civil penalty for the alleged violations of Sections 8.02(4), 8.02(5), and 8.06(3) of Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Gayle Rothrock, Chairman, Lawrence J. Faulk (presiding) and David Akana at an informal hearing on October 31, 1983, in Lacey.

Appellant represented himself; respondent was represented by its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin. The proceedings were electronically recorded.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and

S 1 No 9925-05- 8 67

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

having considered the contentions of the parties, the Board makes these FINDINGS OF FACT

Ţ

Appellant, J & D Excavating, a subsidiary of Taylor Custom Homes, Inc., is a demolition contractor operating in the State of Washington.

II

On June 28, 1983, an employee of appellant applied for an outdoor burn permit from the fire department. The permit was disapproved on July 7, 1983, because the population density of the proposed burn site exceeded PSAPCA standards for safe, controlled outdoor burning and stamped across its face was "Burning Prohibited."

III

On July 26, 1983, at approximately 12:15 a.m., respondent's inspector was drawn to 17809-76th Avenue West, Edmonds, Washington by a telephone call from an official of the Edmonds Fire Department.

The respondent's inspector observed a land clearing fire started by appellant's employee. It was 20 feet by 10 feet made up of natural vegetation and material that appeared to be from a demolished house.

ΙV

Respondent's inspector talked to the appellant at the site. The inspector explained article 8, of respondent's Regulation I to appellant and indicated a notice of violation would be issued. Appellant showed the inspector the blot plan of the site and explained

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 83-188

1

2

3

4

5

6

ĩ

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

11

. .

23

21

Ĵ

26

The employee no longer works for the appellant.

 2 3

1

4 5

7 8

6

9 10

1213

11

14 15

16

17

18

19 20°

12

11.2 23

24 25

26

FINAL PINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER 27 PCHB No. 83-188

the work to be done. The inspector saw that a house was noted on the The inspector asked appellant about the house. Appellant told the inspector it was in the burning pile.

An official of the Edmonds Fire Department also was at the scene of the fire on July 26, 1983, to view the fire and determine if appellant had a burning permit. Appellant indicated J & D Excavating had applied to the fire department for a permit and, to the best of his knowledge had obtained a permit, although he didn't have it at the job site.

Appellant stated that the Edmonds Fire Department expressed to J & p Excavating that such a burn would be allowed if he obtained an approval from respondent PSAPCA. Appellant also indicated that he had verbal approval to conduct burning from the Edmonds Fire Chief.

The evidence presented does not show respondent PSAPCA or the City of Edmonds Fire Department issued written or verbal approval of the fire in question.

V

on July 27, 1983, respondent's inspector mailed notice of violation No. 19784 to appellant's office. From this notice followed, on September 20, 1983, a civil penalty of \$250 for the alleged violation.

Civil penalty No. 5829 is for alleged violation of Sections 8.02(4) and 8.02(5) and 8.06(3) of Regulation I.

From this notice of violation and civil penalty appellant appealed to this Board on September 28, 1983.

 pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, respondent has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I and amendments thereto, which are noticed.

Section 8.02(1) prohibits an outdoor fire for purpose of demolition of materials.

section 8.02(5) makes it unlawful for any person to cause or allow any outdoor fire in violation of any applicable law, rule or regulation of any governmental agency having jurisdiction over such a fire.

section 8.06(3) makes it unlawful for any person to cause or allow any outdoor fire for land clearing burning within the urbanized area as defined by the United States Bureau of The Census unless respondent has verified that the average population density on the land within 0.6 miles of the proposed burning site is 2,500 person per square mile or less.

Section 8.29 provides for a penalty of up to \$250 per day for each violation of Regulation I.

VII

Appellant has no previous violations of Regulation I.

VIII

Any Conclusion of Law which should be decided a finding of fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 83-188

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι

An outdoor fire to dispose of natural vegetation and a demolished house did occur at 17809-76th Avenue West, Edmonds, Washington on July 26, 1983, without the approval of the respondent.

ΙI

Appellant's belief that J & D Excavating had permission to burn at that site is not supported by the facts presented.

III

A portion of the penalty should be suspended because appellant has no previous violations of Regulation I and he, regrettably, believed an employee's representation that the company had permission to burn.

IV

Appellant did violate Sections 8.02(4), 8.02(5), and 8.06(3) as alleged.

V

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

prom these Conclusions the Board enters this

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 83-188

 0^{1}

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

3.7

33

24

25

26

ORDER The notice of violation and \$250 civil penalty is affirmed; provided, however, that \$100 of the penalty is suspended on condition that appellant not violate respondent's Regulation I for a period of one year after this Order becomes final. DATED this 57th day of December, 1983. CONCURRING OPINION: DAVID AKANA I concur with the result. DAVID AKANA, Lawyer Member -1

FINAL PINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 83-188